

## **Integrating willow-based bioenergy and organic dairy production – the role of tree fodder for feed supplementation**

Jo Smith<sup>1</sup>, Katharine Leach<sup>1</sup>, Marketta Rinne<sup>2</sup>, Kaisa Kuoppala<sup>2</sup>, and Susanne Padel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Organic Research Centre, Elm Farm, Hamstead Marshall, Newbury, Berks, RG20 0HR, UK, jo.s@organicresearchcentre.com

<sup>2</sup>MTT Agrifood Research Finland, Jokioinen, Finland

Key words: Agro-forestry, silvopastoral, short rotation coppice

### **Abstract**

*Silvopastoral systems that combine livestock and trees offer two main advantages for the animals. First, trees modify microclimatic conditions which can have beneficial effects on pasture growth and animal welfare. Second, trees also provide alternative feed resources during periods of low forage availability. This paper reports on research carried out within the Sustainable Organic and Low Input Dairying (SOLID) project to investigate some aspects of the multifunctional potential of a novel integrated willow-based bio-energy/organic dairy production system in the UK, especially the role of tree fodder for feed supplementation in organic dairy systems. The nutritional value of two ages (1st and 2nd year re-growth) of short rotation coppiced willow was assessed in two seasons (late spring and late summer) in 2011.*

### **Introduction**

Agroforestry, the integration of trees and agriculture, is valued as a multifunctional land use approach that balances the production of commodities (food, feed, fuel, fibre, etc) with non-commodity outputs such as environmental protection and cultural and landscape amenities (IAASTD, 2008). In Europe, the EU Renewable Energy Directive sets a target to get 20% of its energy from renewable sources by 2020. The need to increase bioenergy production from biomass crops such as *Miscanthus* grass and short rotation coppice (SRC) has led to concerns that there will be increasing conflict for land with food production. Agroforestry systems integrating bioenergy crops (SRC) and livestock or arable production can help reconcile these conflicting demands. This paper reports on research investigating the viability and multifunctional potential of a novel integrated willow-based bio-energy/organic dairy production system in the UK, especially the role of tree fodder for feed supplementation in organic dairy systems.

### **An integrated bio-energy and organic livestock production system**

Agroforestry systems integrating bioenergy crops (SRC) and livestock or arable production can help reconcile conflicting demands for land use. Silvopastoral systems that combine livestock and trees offer two main advantages for the animals. First, trees modify microclimatic conditions including temperature, water vapour content or partial pressure, and wind speed, which can have beneficial effects on pasture growth and animal welfare (Bird, 1998; Karki and Goodman, 2009). Second, trees also provide alternative feed resources during periods of low forage availability, particularly in climates with seasonal droughts such as the Mediterranean (Papanastasis *et al.*,

2008). Browse from trees and shrubs plays an important role in feeding ruminants in many parts of the World, particularly in the tropics, and there has been considerable research into the nutritional potential and limitations of many tropical fodder species (Devendra, 1992). However, comparatively little is known about the potential of temperate browse species. The composition of tree fodder varies depending on a range of factors including tree species and cultivars, season, age of growth, climate, and plant part utilized (leaf vs stem).

## Materials and methodology

This paper reports on research carried out within the Sustainable Organic and Low Input Dairying (SOLID) project to investigate some aspects of the viability and multifunctional potential of a novel integrated willow-based bio-energy/organic dairy production system in the UK, especially the role of tree fodder for feed supplementation in organic dairy systems. As a first step, the nutritional value of two ages (1st and 2nd year re-growth) of short rotation coppiced willow was assessed in two seasons (late spring and late summer) in 2011.

This work is part of an EU FP7 funded project "Sustainable organic and low input dairying" (SOLID, KBBE.2010.1.2-02), which aims at supporting developments and innovations in organic and low input dairy systems to optimize competitiveness while maximising the potential of these systems to deliver environmental goods and biodiversity, and optimising economic, agronomic and nutritional advantages for the development of innovative and sustainable organic and low input dairy systems and supply chains. For more information on the project, see [www.solidairy.eu](http://www.solidairy.eu).



Fig. 1. Wakelyns agroforestry SRC willow system with a legume-ley in the 12m wide alleys.

The integrated system is based on an alley-cropping design, with twin rows of SRC willow (*Salix viminalis*) separated by 12m wide alleys of pasture (Fig.1). The tree rows are orientated north/south to minimise shading effects in the alleys. The willow is harvested on a 2-3 year rotation, dried and chipped for use in wood chip boilers. Feed value of the willow is likely to vary depending on the age of re-growth and season of the year, and a better understanding of this variation is necessary in order to identify the potential for contributing to livestock nutrition. We hypothesised that the willow would be of highest nutritional value in spring, declining as plants mature through the

summer as NDF and lignin contents and structural carbohydrates increase while crude protein content decreases. We also predicted higher feed value within first year re-growth compared to second year re-growth.

Willow samples were collected from Wakelyns Agroforestry, an established silvoarable system in eastern England in June and September 2011. SRC willow was planted in 1998 and is harvested on a 2 year rotation. Samples were collected on 29th June and 14th September 2011 from first and second-year re-growth of willow. Samples were taken from 5m long plots, with 4 replicate plots of each age class (total of 8 plots). The samples consisted of leaves and stems up to 8mm in diameter as cattle have been shown to eat willow of 4-8mm diameter (Moore, Barry et al. 2003). Samples were oven dried at 60°C until a stable weight was reached.

The feed values of the samples were analysed in the laboratory of MTT using standard methods. The digestibility of the samples was measured in vitro using a pepsin-cellulase based method and the cellulase solubility was converted into in vivo digestibility using the general equation presented by Huhtanen et al. (2006).

## Results and discussion

The feed values of the fresh and ensiled willow samples are presented in Table 1 and 2.

**Table 1: Chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of willow samples harvested from 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year re-growth in late spring and late summer 2011**

	First year		Second year		Statistical significance		
	June	Sept	June	Sept	Year	Season	Y*S
n	4	4	4	4			
DM <sup>a</sup> (g/kg)	265	378	359	420	**	**	**
ln DM <sup>a</sup> (g/kg DM)							
Ash	70.8	72.5	63.6	63.7	*	NS	NS
Crude protein	167	127	125	99	**	**	NS
NDF	573	492	548	503	NS	**	NS
ADF	410	341	395	357	NS	**	*
Lignin	184	136	168	135	NS	**	NS
<i>In vitro</i> OM digest.	0.41	0.38	0.40	0.37	NS	**	NS

\* significant at P<0.05 and \*\* significant at P<0.01

<sup>a</sup> DM: dry matter

There were significant differences in the chemical composition of the different ages and seasons of willow samples. As expected, crude protein levels were highest in June and higher in 1<sup>st</sup> year than 2<sup>nd</sup> year re-growth. We were surprised to find a statistically significantly higher level of lignin in the June samples as we expected lignin content to increase as the willow grew through the season. One explanation is that there was a greater proportion of stems in the June samples as the early growth stems would be more likely to be smaller in diameter than the 8mm limit. As expected OM digestibility was higher in spring than late summer, but overall was rather low at 0.38 to 0.41. This compares poorly with values from the research literature which recorded values ranging from 0.43 up to 0.91 (Oppong *et al.*, 2001; Moore *et al.*, 2003; Pitta *et al.*, 2007; Diaz Lira *et al.*, 2008; Musonda *et al.*, 2009). While it is apparent that the feed value of the willow within this integrated system is limited, willow as a fodder may have a role to play as a buffer feed when grass is in short supply or of poor quality.

## Suggestions to tackle the future challenges of organic animal husbandry

The unpredictability and variability in feed supply from organic agro-forestry systems seems to be one of the biggest challenges to their use at present as there are so many different species available and the seasonal variation is so great. However, fast growing trees provide the potential for a large quantity of material, and can deliver a range of other benefits to animal welfare and the environment.

## References

- Bird, P.R., 1998. Tree windbreaks and shelter benefits to pasture in temperate grazing systems. *Agroforestry Systems* 41, 35-54.
- Devendra, C., 1992. Nutritional potential of fodder trees and shrubs as protein sources in ruminant nutrition. In: Speedy, A., Pugliese, P.L. (Eds.), *Legume Trees and Other Fodder Trees as Protein Sources for Livestock*. FAO, Rome.
- Diaz Lira, C.M., Barry, T.N., Pomroy, W.E., 2008. Willow (*Salix* spp.) fodder blocks for growth and sustainable management of internal parasites in grazing lambs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 141, 61-81.
- Huhtanen, P., Nousiainen, J., Rinne, M., 2006. Recent developments in forage evaluation with special reference to practical applications. *Agricultural and Food Science* 15, 293-323.
- IAASTD, 2008. Executive summary of the synthesis report. *International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development*
- Karki, U., Goodman, M.S., 2009. Cattle distribution and behaviour in southern-pine silvopasture versus open-pasture. *Agroforestry Systems* 78, 159-168.
- Moore, K.M., Barry, T.N., Cameron, P.N., Lopez-Villalobos, N., Cameron, D.J., 2003. Willow (*Salix* sp.) as a supplement for grazing cattle under drought conditions. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 104, 1-11.
- Musonda, K., Barry, T.N., McWilliam, E.L., Lopez-Villalobos, N., Pomroy, W., 2009. Grazing willow (*Salix* sp.) fodder blocks for increased reproductive rates and internal parasite control in mated hoggets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 150, 46-61.
- Oppong, S.K., Kemp, P.D., Douglas, G.B., Foote, A.G., 2001. Browse yield and nutritive value of two *Salix* species and *Dorycnium rectum* in New Zealand. *Agroforestry Systems* 51, 11-21.
- Papanastasis, V.P., Yiakoulaki, M.D., Decandia, M., Dini-Papanastasi, O., 2008. Integrating woody species into livestock feeding in the Mediterranean areas of Europe. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 140, 1-17.
- Pitta, D.W., Barry, T.N., Lopez-Villalobos, N., Kemp, P.D., 2007. Willow fodder blocks - an alternative forage to low quality pasture for mating ewes during drought? *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 133, 240-258.